

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 114 of 2012 (D.B.)**

Shri Sitaram S/o Mahadeo Kinake,  
Aged about 68 years, R/o Vikas Colony,  
Ram Nagar, Yavatmal.

**Applicant.**

**Versus**

- 1) State of Maharashtra through its Secretary  
Rural Development and Water Resources Department,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) Zilla Parishad, Yeotmal  
through its Chief Executive Officer,  
Yavatmal.

**Respondents.**

---

**S/Shri Bharat Kulkarni, K.L. Zalke Advocates for the applicant.**

**Shri H.K. Pande, learned P.O. for respondent no.1.**

**S/Shri R.D. Bhuibhar, S.A. Dustonde, D.M. Kale, S. Anjankar, A.S.  
Ghawde, Advocates for respondent no.2.**

---

---

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
**Vice-Chairman (J) and**  
**Hon'ble Shri Shree Bhagwan, Member(A).****

---

**JUDGMENT****PER : M (A).****(Delivered on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of December,2018)**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri H.K. Pande, learned P.O. for respondent no.1 and Shri D.M. Kale, learned counsel for respondent no.2.

2. As pleaded by the learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant was working as BDO, Panchayat Samiti, Maregaon, District Yavatmal during the period from 09/12/1991 to 06/07/1994. However, with record available to this Tribunal it is clear that this O.A. is connected with O.A.221/2010.

3. There were alleged to be some irregularities during this period and applicant was put under suspension vide no.efol &7404@i zdz 176@vLFk&2]e[cb] dated 03/08/2018 (Annex-A-1,P-11). The applicant has approached to this Tribunal against this impugned order with following main reliefs :-

*“(i) Quash and set aside the impugned order of respondent no.1 dated 03/08/2011 to decide the period of suspension as suspension as such being illegal.*

*(ii) Direct the respondent no.1 to declare the period of suspension from 03/02/1996 to 20/11/1997 as duty for all purposes and pay*

*the increments, pay and allowances with arrears by issuing order afresh."*

4. However, on perusal of documents on record it seems that for the said irregularities Government of Maharashtra started departmental Inquiry was initiated against him on the charges of irregularities made by him vide memorandum issued by respondent no.1 vide letter No.efol s 7494@i@1028@10&v] xte fodkl o tyl ~~dkkj.k foHkkx]~~ ~~ekbj~~ dated 31/07/1996 which is at Annex-A-4, P.B. page nos. 92 to 123 (both inclusive) and in the said inquiry following charges were levelled against the applicant.

**^t~~dkkj~~=&, d**

Jh, I -, e-fduksd] ekth xv fodkl vf/kdkjh (m-Js) ipk; r I ferh eljxkd @uj] ftYgk ; orekG %I /; kfuyfr% ; kpf#/n foHkkxh; plsd'kh dj.; kl kBh nkskkjki i = &

Jh, I -, e-fduksdgsfnukd 09@12@1991 rs06@07@1994 ; k dkyko/khr xv fodkl vf/kdkjh (m-Js) ipk; r I ferh eljxkd] ftYgk ; orekG ; k inkoj dk; Jr vI rkuk R; kuh 'kk dh; dkekr [kyhyi ek.ksvf; ferrk dsh vkg &

**vijki dz1** & Jh, I -, e-fduksdgs mDr inkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkuk R; kuh I u 1992&93 e/; s Jh , - , I - xk; /ku] dfu"B yq[k vf/kdkjh] ipk; r I ferh] eljxkd g; kpskh I xuerkus250 es Vu fl et/ph vko'; drk ul rkuk tknk [kjsh d#u 'kk dh; fu/kh vdkj.k xqou Bsu egjkV'Vukxjh l ok (orZkpd%fu; e 3 1/4, d 1/4, nksu 1/4 psmYydku dys

**vijiki dz2** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr i nkoj o dkyo/khr dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh [kj]; k cktljkrw fl eV [kjshph ofj "Bkph i jokuxh u ?skr rI p fofgr dk; I/nrhpk voye u djrk rI p fufonk/kj dk 0; frfjDr Qelyk jdeps'ksku dsys I njdR; Jh-xk; /kus; kpskh I xuer d#u dsys vI w R; kuh yqkli sgrk fu; e 138 o egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp1% fu; e 3 1/1 1/4nkus1/4rhu1/4ps mYyaku dsys

**vijiki dz3** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr i nkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh Jh-xk; /kus ; kpskh I xuer d#u 5000 fl eV Fksyh i R; {kk i khr >kY; kp; k fmM efguk vxknjp rkBk i ahr ukn ?kou jdeps'ksku d#u #i ; s4]55]000@& ps'ksku I j{kk Bp u ?skr dsys vlf.k egkjk"V" ftYgk ifj"kn o ipk; r I ferh yqkli sgrk 1968 psfu; e 144 pk Hkx rI p egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp1% fu; e 1979 psfu; e 3 1/1 1/4, d1/4nkus1/4rhu1/4psmYyaku dsys

**vijiki dz4** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr dkyko/khr mDr i nkoj dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh Jh-xk; /kus ; kpskh I xuer d#u ipyhr njki{kk #-11@& us tkLr njkps fl eV] [kjsh d#u #-55]000@& pk tlnk Hkpm 'kli ukoj ylnyk vlf.k vlfkld fu; e o egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp1% fu; e 1979 psfu; e 3 1/1 1/4, d1/4nkus1/4rhu1/4psmYyaku dsys

**vijiki dz5** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr i nkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh Jh-xk; /kus ; kpskh I xuer d#u #i ; s4]55]000@& gh fl eV [kjsh ofj "Bkph i jokuxh u ?skr d#u egkjk"V" ukxjh I ok (orZkp1% fu; e 3 1/1 1/4, d1/4nkus1/4rhu1/4psmYyaku dsys

**vijiki dz6** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr i nkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh Jh-xk; /kus ; kpskh I xuer d#u fl eVp; k nt kph [kk=h u djrk #i ; s4]55]000@& ijoBknkjl okVi d#u vldfled [kp]fu; ekoyh 1965 psi fjf'k"V&6 fu; e 3 psmYyaku dsys

**vijiki dz7** & Jh-, I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr i nkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkauk R; kuh Jh-xk; /kus ; kpskh I xuer d#u idyi I pkyd] ; orekG ; kuh #i ; s4]46]000@& ph rjrp 20 VDds

dkekI kBhfnyh vI rkuk rhfl eI [kjshoj [kpzd#u tolgy jkstxkj eI; vY e/khy rjrp dz25-1 pso vldfled [kpifu; eloyh 1965 e/khy fu; e 171 psmYyku dsys

**vijki dz8**& Jh , I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr inkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkuk R; kuh Jh , u-th cYd] I okfuorr xke folrkj vf/kdkjh] ipk; r I ferh ; kpdmS#- 65]594@& ph ol yh i yfcr vI rkuk I qnk uk&ol yh i zek.ki=kr rh jDde uep dsys ukgh- i ; kZ kusI nj jDde ol y djrk vkyh ukgh o rs<; k jdeB; k [kkR; koj ckstt i Myk- v'; kizdkjsR; kuh dr]; kr dlj d#u cst clcnkj i .ksukol yh i zek.ki = fuxter dsysvkg I cc R; kuh egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp% fu; e 1979 psfu; e 3 1/2%, d1/24nk1/24rhu%psmYyku dsys

**vijki dz9**& Jh , I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr inkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkuk R; kuh Jh , u-ds eljxkj] dfu"b vfhk; rk ipk; r I ferh eljxk; kuh ckdkdkekI kBh dkekps ixrlps voykdu u djrk o iphs vxhe i yfcr vI rkuk oGloGh vxhe ety d#u vlfkld fu; ferrk dsys o egkjk"V"ftYgk ifj"kn o ipkr I feR; k y[kkI figk 1968 e/khy fu; e 210 1/2 pk Hkx vlf.k egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp% fu; e 1979 psfu; e 3 1/2%, d1/24nk1/24rhu%psmYyku dsys

**vijki dz10**& Jh , I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr inkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkuk ipk; r I ferh] eljxk; kuh LFkukarj.k >Y; koj rFkhy fuokl LFkukpsfo?k ns d #- 3]322@& Hkjyysukgh- I cc R; kuh egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp% fu; e 3 1/2%, d1/24nk1/24rhu%psmYyku dsys

**vijki dz11**& Jh , I -, e-fdukdsgsmDr inkoj o dkyko/khr dke djhr vI rkuk ipk; r I ferh] eljxk; kuh fuokl LFkuk HkM; kph fnukl 09@12@1991 rs 30@01@1992 i ; ZP; k Qjd kph jDde oGoj u Hkjrk mf'kjk Hk#u egkjk"V"ukxjh I ok (orZkp% fu; e 3 1/2%, d1/24nk1/24rhu%psmYyku dsys

**vijki dz12**& Jh , I -, e-fdukdsgsfnuokl 26@10@1994 rs 18@02@1996 ; k dkyko/khr xV fodkl vf/kdkjh 1m-Js1/2 ipk; r I ferh] uj ; k inkoj dk; Jr vI rkuk R; kuh I njhy [kpksQkZua

29 oj 'kk[vfHk; rk o dfu"B vfHk; rk i pk; r I ferh] uj ftYgk ; orekG ; kpsukoloj jDde # -  
3]38]872@& pspDI dk<uu [kphVkdys I cc R; kuh egljk"V"yfkk I fgrk ----- ukxjh  
I ok (orZkp%fu; e 1979 psfu; e 3 1/2%, d 1/4%ku 1/4%rhu 1/4%psm Yyaku dys\*\*

5. As per inquiry report attached in O.A.221/2010 from P.B. page nos.60 to 91 inquiry report has been submitted by the Inquiry Officer. As per the abstract on page no.91 of said inquiry report in which abstract has been given. As per this, charge No.1, charge No.7, charge No.9, charge No.10 and charge No.11 i.e. five charges are not proved, as written by the Inquiry Officer. Charge No. 2, charge No.4, charge No.6, charge No.8 and charge No. 12 are fully proved and charge No.5 is partially proved.

6. Accordingly decision given in appeal also, five charges have not been proved (charge No.1, charge No.7, charge No.9, charge No.10 and charge No.11). However, five charges (Charge No. 2, charge No.4, charge No.6, charge No.8 and charge No. 12 ) have been proved fully against the applicant and one charge i.e. Charge no.5 is partially proved. So the applicant is liable for punishment.

7. Though the inquiry was started a bit late but charges have been proved as per above para and accordingly after following all the legal steps departmental inquiry punishment has been awarded to

the applicant. In background of these facts reliefs claimed by the applicant in this O.A. cannot be given. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

The O.A. stand dismissed with no order as to costs.

**(Shree Bhagwan)**  
**Member(A).**

**(J.D. Kulkarni)**  
**Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated :- 19/12/2018.**

dnk.